

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,228	07/25/2003	Farhad K. Moghadam	A7632/T49100	2446
57385	7590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP / AMAT			MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN	
	RCADERO CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EIGHTH FLOOR			ARTONI	TATER NOMBER
SAN FRANC	ISCO, CA 94111-3834		1753	
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			n;
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/627,228	MOGHADAM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rodney G. McDonald	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNIC 6(a). In no event, however, may a re- ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc	stober 2005		
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		rs prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	•	·	
		,	
Disposition of Claims		,	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	frawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C S	119/a)_(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 55 0.5.0. §	1 10(a)-(u) of (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		plication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	·	<u></u>	
application from the International Bureau	•	ŭ	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eceived.	:
			4
attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)	
() Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) () Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	:
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-31-05</u> .	6) Other:		,

e

Application/Control Number: 10/627,228

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 31, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

į;

Application/Control Number: 10/627,228

Art Unit: 1753

Claims 1-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiang et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,428,859) in view of Sherman (U.S. Pat. 6,342,277), Chiang et al. (US PG Pub 2002/0197402) and Machida et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,732,761).

Regarding claim 1, Chiang et al. '859 teach a method of enhanced sequential atomic layer deposition (ALD) suitable for deposition of barrier layers, adhesion layers, seed layers, low dielectric constant (low-k) films, high dielectric constant (high-k) films, and other conductive, semi-conductive and non-conductive films. (See Abstract) The method comprises exposing the substrate to a first gaseous reactant allowing a monolayer of the reactant to form on the surface. The monolayer is adsorbed onto the substrate. (Column 8 lines 25-27; Column 12 lines 56-58) The reactant is evacuated from the chamber. (Column 8 lines 33-35; Column 12 lines 59-60) The monolayer is exposed to radicals while biasing the substrate to react with the monolayer to deposit the thin film. (Column 8 lines 36-56; Column 12 lines 65-68; Column 13 lines 1-6) The process is repeated until the desired film thickness is built up. (Column 8 lines 60-61)

The differences between Chiang et al. '859 and the present claims is that the first reactant and the second reactant is not discussed (Claims 1, 15), where the level of the applied bias is sufficient to cause sputtering is not discussed (Claims 1, 15), wherein an average atomic mass of all atomic constituents in the second reactant is less than or equal to an average atomic mass of oxygen is not discussed (Claims 2, 15), wherein the silicon-containing reactant is a silane family member having a formula of Si_nH_{2n+1} is not discussed (Claims 3, 15), wherein the second reactant consists of molecular oxygen is not discussed (Claim 4), wherein the second reactant consists of molecular oxygen and

a sputtering agent is not discussed (Claim 5), wherein the sputtering agent consists of molecular hydrogen is not discussed (Claim 6), wherein the sputtering agent comprises molecular hydrogen and/or helium is not discussed (Claim 7), where the oxygen radicals are generated by forming a plasma within the chamber is not discussed (Claim 8), where the oxygen radicals are generated by forming a plasma in a remote plasma chamber is not discussed (Claim 9), wherein the chamber is evacuated of the silicon containing reactant prior to exposing the substrate to oxygen radicals is not discussed (Claim 10), wherein the chamber is purged of the silicon-containing reactant by flowing a gas that is chemically inert to silica glass into the chamber is not discussed (Claim 11), wherein the chamber is purged of the silicon-containing reactant by flowing an oxygen source into the chamber is not discussed (Claim 12), wherein energy is applied to the chamber to form a plasma from the second reactant while biasing the substrate and wherein no plasma is formed while the substrate is exposed to the siliconcontaining reactant is not discussed (Claim 13), forming the film over a substrate having a gap between two adjacent raised features is not discussed (Claim 15) and the temperature of the substrate is not discussed (Claim 15).

Regarding the first reactant and the second reactant (Claims 1, 15), Sherman teaches a process for growing a thin film including a plurality of cycles, wherein at least one cycle comprises exposing a part to a gaseous first reactant, including an element of the thin film to be formed, wherein at least a portion of the first reactant adsorbs on the part; purging the chamber of the gaseous first reactant; converting the portion of the first reactant adsorbed on the part to either an element or compound by exposing the part to

a gaseous second react that includes radicals created by a plasma discharge, whereby a thin film is formed; and purging the chamber of the gaseous second reactant.

(Column 12 lines 54-64) The first and second reactants for forming silica glass are silane and oxygen. (Column 8 lines 38-51)

Regarding where the level of the applied bias is sufficient to cause sputtering (Claims 1, 15), Chiang et al. '859 discussed above teach applying a bias of -20V to -500 V during radical exposure. The Examiner asserts that the higher end of the range causing sputtering. Chiang et al. '859 teach that oxygen and/or radicals can effect etching. (Chiang et al. '859 Column 12 lines 33-52) Furthermore, Chiang et al. '402 recognize that values over |-150V| will cause sputtering. (See Paragraph 0046) Furthermore, Machida et al. recognize that in a second step of CVD that a bias voltage should be applied to cause sputtering to fill openings in a substrate. (See Abstract; Column 9 lines 4-7, lines 11-21, lines 30-45)

Regarding wherein an average atomic mass of all atomic constituents in the second reactant is less than or equal to an average atomic mass of oxygen (Claims 2, 15), Sherman teach that the second reactant can be oxygen which would be equal to the average atomic mass of oxygen. (Column 8 lines 38-51)

Regarding wherein the silicon-containing reactant is a silane family member having a formula of Si_nH_{2n+1} (Claims 3, 15), Sherman teach that first reactant can be silane. (Column 8 lines 38-51)

Regarding wherein the second reactant consists of molecular oxygen (Claim 4), Sherman teach that the second reactant can be molecular oxygen. (Column 8 line 46)

Regarding wherein the second reactant consists of molecular oxygen and a sputtering agent (Claim 5), Chiang et al. '859 teach that the sputtering agent can be argon. (Column 8 lines 41-43) Chiang et al. '859 also teach oxygen can be used. (Column 12 lines 38-43) Sherman teach that oxygen can be used as the second reactant. (Column 8 lines 38-51) Machida et al. suggest that argon can be used as a sputtering agent. (See Abstract)

Regarding wherein the sputtering agent consists of molecular hydrogen (Claim 6), Chiang et al. '859 teach that molecular hydrogen can be used for etching. (Column 12 lines 34-51)

Regarding wherein the sputtering agent comprises molecular hydrogen and/or helium (Claim 7), Chiang et al. '859 teach that molecular hydrogen can be used for etching. (Column 12 lines 34-51)

Regarding where the oxygen radicals are generated by forming a plasma within the chamber (Claim 8), Sherman suggest forming oxygen radicals within the chamber. (Column 6 lines 36-41; Column 8 lines 38-51)

Regarding where the oxygen radicals are generated by forming a plasma in a remote plasma chamber (Claim 9), Chiang et al. teach forming radicals in a remote plasma chamber. (Column 6 lines 39-50) Sherman suggests utilizing oxygen as the second reactant. (Column 8 lines 38-51)

Regarding wherein the chamber is evacuated of the silicon containing reactant prior to exposing the substrate to oxygen radicals (claim 10), Chiang et al. teach exhausting the first reactant gas from the chamber prior to exposing the substrate to the

second reactant. (Column 12 lines 55-68) Sherman teach the first react to be silane and the second reactant to be oxygen. (Column 8 lines 38-51)

Regarding wherein the chamber is purged of the silicon-containing reactant by flowing a gas that is chemically inert to silica glass into the chamber (Claim 11), Sherman teach utilizing an inert gas between exposure of the reactant gases. (Column 7 lines 55-61)

Regarding wherein the chamber is purged of the silicon-containing reactant by flowing an oxygen source into the chamber (Claim 12), Sherman teach purging by utilizing the second reactant gas of oxygen. (Column 7 lines 61-65)

Regarding wherein energy is applied to the chamber to form a plasma from the second reactant while biasing the substrate and wherein no plasma is formed while the substrate is exposed to the silicon-containing reactant (Claim 13), Chiang et al. '859 teach biasing the substrate while utilizing the second reactant. (Column 8 lines 36-55) Sherman teach forming a plasma from the second reactant by utilizing an RF coil. (Sherman Column 6 lines 35-41) Chiang et al. '859 teach forming no plasma during first reactant deposition at a temperature of less than 350 degrees C. (Column 8 lines 25-31)

Regarding forming the film over a substrate having a gap between two adjacent raised features (Claim 15), Sherman teach filling a gap having two adjacent raised features. (Column 11 lines 42-46)

人者の意味を必然したから、とは、本体の基礎であるからいと

Application/Control Number: 10/627,228

Art Unit: 1753

Regarding the temperature of the substrate (Claim 15), Chiang et al. '859 teach that the temperature of the substrate can be less than 350 degrees C which overlaps applicants claimed range of 300-600 Degrees C. (Column 8 lines 30-32)

The motivation for utilizing the elements of Sherman is that it allows for depositing a conformal layer of uniform thickness. (Column 5 lines 22-24)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Chiang et al. '859 as taught by utilizing the elements of Sherman and the particular bias as taught by Chiang et la. '859 further evidenced by Chiang et al. '402 and Machida et al. because it allows for depositing a conformal layer of uniform thickness.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiang et al. '859 in view of Sherman, Chiang et al. '402 and Machida et al. as applied to claims 1-13 and 15 above, and further in view of Qian et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,571,576).

The difference not yet discussed is the doping of the silica glass film with a dopant. (Claim 14).

Regarding Claim 14, Qian et al. teach providing a fluorine-containing gas in order to dope a silicon oxide dielectric layer. (See Abstract)

The motivation for doping the silicon oxide film with fluorine is that it allows production of a film with a low dielectric constant, having all the necessary film properties such as stability, density, gap fill, low film stress and step coverage. (Column 1 lines 51-55)

Page 9

4. 4.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have doped a silicon oxide film as taught by Qian et al. because it allows for production of a film with a low dielectric constant, having all the necessary film properties such as stability, density, gap fill, low film stress and step coverage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney G. McDonald whose telephone number is 571-272-1340. The examiner can normally be reached on M- Th with Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Rodney G. McDonald **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1753

RM

April 11, 2006